Case 5:14-cv-05344-BLF Document 279 Filed 06/03/16 Page 1 of 3

3

5

6

4

7

8

9 10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

22 23

24

25

26

27 28 I, Eduardo E. Santacana, declare:

- 1. I am an attorney licensed to practice law in the State of California and am an attorney with the law firm of Keker & Van Nest LLP, located at 633 Battery Street, San Francisco, California 94111, counsel for Defendant Arista Networks, Inc. ("Arista") in the above-referenced action. Unless otherwise stated, the facts I set forth in this declaration are based on my personal knowledge or knowledge I obtained through my review of corporate records or other investigation. If called to testify as a witness, I could and would testify competently to such facts under oath.
- 2. I submit this declaration in support of Arista Network's Motion to Compel Discovery Responses and Production of Documents.
- 3. Attached hereto as **Exhibit A** is a true and correct copy of Defendant Arista Networks, Inc.'s Sixth Set of Interrogatories to Plaintiff Cisco Systems, Inc. (Nos. 21-25), dated March 30, 2016.
- 4. Attached hereto as **Exhibit B** is a true and correct copy of an excerpt of Plaintiff Cisco Systems, Inc.'s Objections and Responses to Arista Networks, Inc.'s Sixth Set of Interrogatories (Nos. 21-25), dated May 9, 2016.
- 5. Attached hereto as **Exhibit C** is a true and correct copy of correspondence from Ryan K. Wong, counsel for Arista Networks, Inc., to counsel for Cisco Systems, dated May 12, 2016.
- 6. Attached hereto as **Exhibit D** is a true and correct copy of an excerpt of Plaintiff Cisco Systems, Inc.'s Supplemental Objections and Responses to Defendant Arista Networks, Inc.'s Interrogatories (Nos. 21, 24, & 25), dated June 3, 2016.
- 7. Attached hereto as **Exhibit E** is a true and correct copy of the Eighth Supplemental version of Exhibit F to Plaintiff Cisco Systems, Inc.'s Response to Interrogatory 16, dated April 3, 2016.
- 8. On June 3, 2016, I participated in a meet and confer telephone conference with counsel for Cisco. During that conference, I reiterated Arista's position that Cisco's responses to Interrogatories 24 and 25 are inadequate, and I explained that Arista would be forced to move to

1	compel responses if Cisco did not change its position. Later that day, counsel for Cisco
2	confirmed in writing that Cisco would stand on its objections to responding fully to
3	Interrogatories 24 and 25.
4	Executed June 3, 2016, at San Francisco, California.
5	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the
6	foregoing is true and correct.
7	
8	
9	skelen
10	EDUARDO E. SANTACANA
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	2